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#### Abstract

C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{12} \mathrm{NOP}, M_{r}=217 \cdot 21\), orthorhombic, $P 22_{1} 2_{2}, \quad a=5.520(1), \quad b=11.863$ (2), $c=$ 16.378 (3) $\AA, V=1072.61 \AA^{3}, Z=4, D_{c}=1.34 \mathrm{Mg}$ $\mathrm{m}^{-3}$, Mo $K \alpha$ radiation, $\lambda=0.71073 \AA, \mu($ Mo Ka $)=$ $0.231 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$. The structure was solved by the heavyatom technique and refined to an $R=0.033$ for the 788 independent observed reflections with intensities above $3 \sigma(I)$. The coordination around the P atom was confirmed to be nearly tetrahedral and not planar as would be required if the structures of some lanthanide adducts $\mathrm{La}^{3+}\left(\mathrm{ClO}_{4}^{-}\right)_{3}$. 6diphenylphosphinamide were ordered.


Introduction. In the course of a recent investigation of an isomorphous series of structures of adducts between lanthanide salts and diphenylphosphinamide [DPPA, $\left(\mathrm{C}_{6} \mathrm{H}_{5}\right)_{2} \mathrm{P}(\mathrm{O}) \mathrm{NH}_{2}$ ] (Castellano \& Oliva, to be published), it was found that the complexes crystallize in the cubic space group (F23) with the tripositive ion sited on a special position of point symmetry 23. The cation was known to be coordinated to six DPPA ligands (Vicentini \& Dunstan, 1971), probably through the O atoms of the phosphoryl groups and with approximate local symmetry $O_{h}$. For the structure to be ordered, the DPPA ligands must possess twofold symmetry in order to be accommodated in the $g$ special position of symmetry number 24 to give the expected stoichiometry. This would imply a planar configuration around the P atom which is known not to be favoured on stereochemical grounds. Since progress in finding all atoms of the adduct compounds was slow, the determination of the structure of DPPA was undertaken to rule out eventually the possibility of a disordered arrangement of ligands in the lanthanide structures.
DPPA crystals were prepared as described elsewhere (Vicentini \& Dunstan, 1971). A rectangular parallelepiped crystal of dimensions $0.4 \times 0.6 \times 0.8 \mathrm{~mm}$ was mounted on an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer. 25 reflections, centered using least-squares refinement, produced the unit-cell dimensions and the orientation
matrix for data collection. Intensities were measured by the $\theta-2 \theta$ scan technique at a rate of $2.8-6.7^{\circ} \mathrm{min}^{-1}$ determined by a prescan of $6.7^{\circ} \mathrm{min}^{-1} .926$ independent reflections were collected in the range $0<\theta<22^{\circ}$ using graphite-monochromated Mo Ka radiation. Of these, 788 had $I \geq 3 \sigma(I)$. The intensity of a standard reflection was essentially constant over the length of the experiment. Data were corrected for Lorentz and polarization effects but not for absorption or extinction. The atomic scattering factors used were those given by Cromer \& Waber (1974) and the anomalous-dispersion correction coefficients those given by Cromer \& Ibers (1974).

The $P$ atom was readily located from a Patterson map and the rest of the non-hydrogen atoms were obtained from a difference synthesis. The structure was refined isotropically by full-matrix least squares to an $R$ value of 0.11 and then anisotropically to $R=0.06$ for the 788 reflections with intensities above $3 \sigma(I)$. All H atoms were found from a difference synthesis at this

Table 1. Atomic parameters with estimated standard deviations in parentheses and equivalent isotropic temperature factors calculated following Hamilton (1959)

|  | $x$ | $y$ | 2 | $B_{\text {lso }}\left(\AA^{2}\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| P | 0.4577 (2) | 0.0867 (1) | 0.57011 (7) | $2 \cdot 12$ |
| 0 | $0 \cdot 2225$ (5) | $0 \cdot 1492$ (2) | 0.5729 (2) | $2 \cdot 72$ |
| N | 0.6883 (7) | $0 \cdot 1699$ (3) | $0 \cdot 5549$ (2) | $2 \cdot 96$ |
| C(1) | 0.5311 (8) | 0.0097 (4) | 0.6622 (3) | $2 \cdot 18$ |
| C (2) | 0.3681 (9) | -0.0727 (4) | 0.6862 (3) | $2 \cdot 86$ |
| C(3) | 0.4145 (10) | -0.1403 (4) | 0.7533 (3) | $3 \cdot 34$ |
| C(4) | 0.6276 (11) | -0.1235 (4) | 0.7976 (3) | 3.64 |
| C(5) | 0.7862 (10) | -0.0410 (4) | 0.7754 (3) | 3.59 |
| C(6) | 0.7414 (10) | 0.0272 (4) | $0 \cdot 7069$ (3) | 2.87 |
| C(7) | 0.4405 (8) | -0.0229 (3) | 0.4931 (3) | $2 \cdot 19$ |
| C(8) | 0.6220 (9) | -0.1026 (4) | $0 \cdot 4871$ (3) | $3 \cdot 23$ |
| C(9) | 0.6077 (9) | -0.1888 (4) | 0.4298 (3) | $3 \cdot 40$ |
| C(10) | 0.4106 (11) | -0.1948 (4) | 0.3777 (3) | $3 \cdot 51$ |
| C(11) | 0.2303 (10) | -0.1143 (4) | 0.3825 (3) | $3 \cdot 55$ |
| C(12) | 0.2428 (9) | -0.0285 (4) | 0.4407 (3) | 2.90 |

Table 2. Bond angles ( ${ }^{\circ}$ )

| $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{N}$ | $112 \cdot 5(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $120.4(5)$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $114.8(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(4)-\mathrm{C}(5)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $120.9(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{O}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $109 \cdot 3(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)-\mathrm{C}(5)$ | $118.9(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(1)$ | $104.9(2)$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)$ | $120 \cdot 2(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{N}-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $111 \cdot 6(2)$ | $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $120.4(4)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(7)$ | $103.3(2)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(12)$ | $119.4(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)$ | $116.5(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)$ | $120.7(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{P}-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $123.5(4)$ | $\mathrm{C}(8)-\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)$ | $119.9(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(6)$ | $119.9(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(9)-\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $119.7(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(1)-\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)$ | $120.9(5)$ | $\mathrm{C}(10)-\mathrm{C}(11)-\mathrm{C}(112)$ | $120.5(5)$ |
| $\mathrm{C}(2)-\mathrm{C}(3)-\mathrm{C}(4)$ | $119.0(6)$ | $\mathrm{C}(7)-\mathrm{C}(12)-\mathrm{C}(11)$ | $119.7(5)$ |

stage. They were included with isotropic temperature factors and further refinement yielded a final $R=0.033$ and $R_{w}=0.035$. Unit weights were found appropriate. Atomic coordinates are listed in Table 1.* A final difference map was essentially flat.

Discussion. The molecule is depicted in Fig. 1 which also shows intramolecular bond distances. Angles between bonded atoms are given in Table 2. All bond lengths and angles are unexceptional. The phenyl groups are planar to within experimental accuracy with a dihedral angle of $76 \cdot 2^{\circ}$. The phenyl $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ distances are essentially identical, with a mean value of 1.39 (1) $\AA$. The phosphorous bond angles are close to tetrahedral, ranging between 114.8 (2) and 103.3 (2) ${ }^{\circ}$, as was found in the similar compound $\mathrm{N}, \mathrm{N}$-dimethyl-
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Fig. 1. View of the molecule showing the atom numbering and bond distances ( $\AA$ ).
phosphinamide (Mazhar-ul-Haque \& Caughlan, 1966). This rules out any possibility of the molecule possessing twofold symmetry, which indicates that the DPPA ligands in the lanthanide adducts, mentioned in the Introduction, must necessarily be disordered.

All calculations were performed on a PDP 11/45 computer with the Enraf-Nonius $S D P$ crystallographic programs.
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#### Abstract

C}_{3} \mathrm{H}_{7} \mathrm{~N}_{2} \mathrm{~S}^{+} . \mathrm{Cl}^{-}, M_{r}=138.62\), monoclinic, $P 2_{1} / c, a=7.240$ (7), $b=6.176$ (2), $c=13.462$ (8) $\AA$, $\beta=100 \cdot 10(3)^{\circ}, D_{m}=1.51, D_{c}=1.55 \mathrm{Mg} \mathrm{m}^{-3}, Z=$ $4, \mu=7.619 \mathrm{~mm}^{-1}$. Final $R=0.110$ for 657 observed reflections. The nonplanar thiazoline ring assumes an envelope conformation. The crystal structure is stabilized by $\mathrm{NH} \cdots \mathrm{Cl}$ hydrogen bonds and van der Waals interactions.


Introduction. 2-Amino-1,3-thiazoline (2-AT) is one of the two components into which the aminothiol radioprotectant ( $S$ )-2-aminoethylisothiouronium bromide hydrobromide ( $\mathrm{AETBr} . \mathrm{HBr}$ ) is converted in the body. Despite being toxic, 2-AT is also known to have the radioprotective properties of its parent compound, AET (Distefano, Leary \& Little, 1959). The X-ray analysis of its hydrochloride was therefore undertaken
(C) 1981 International Union of Crystallography


[^0]:    * Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters and H atom positional parameters have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 35700 ( 8 pp .). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CHI 2HU, England.

